



Transform 4 European Research and Innovation (T4ERI)

Project No. 101035805 30/09/2024

SHORT POLICY BRIEF II (D1.6)

Prepared by Work Package 1 Lead Saarland University















Document Control Information

Settings	Value
Document Title:	Deliverable D1.6: Short Policy Brief II
Related WP:	WP1 – Project Management and Coordination
Project Title:	Transform 4 European Research and Innovation (T4ERI)
Document Authors:	Carola Hodyas, Marie Darimont
Doc. Version:	Version 1.0
Dissemination level:	Public
Contractual	30/09/2024
Submission Date:	
Revised Submission	n/a
Date:	
Actual Submission	30/09/2024
Date:	

1. FEEDBACK ON PROGRESS (MAX 1.5 P)

Please describe the challenges your Alliance encountered regarding cooperation between universities in the field of R&I in relation to the institutional change areas (transformation modules) foreseen.

DIVERSE INSTITUTIONAL SETTINGS, COMMUNICATION, LEGAL FRAMEWORKS

- Disparity in institutional structures: Institutions function and manage responsibility for their tasks differently and have different approaches to management. Furthermore, the stakeholders involved (administrative units, researchers) act with different degrees of autonomy. Thus, sometimes coordinators lack the procedural/ technical means to implement certain tasks directly, and finding alternative paths has caused delays.
- > There is an imbalance of the <u>human resources dedicated additionally</u> (from own funds) to the project activities and concerning the <u>involvement of high-level decision-makers</u>: In some universities, decisions are made at middle management level and thus are less impactful than decisions taken at a higher level.
- The member universities have in some cases <u>differing notions about their role and responsibilities</u> in the Alliance.
- > Challenges in <u>communication</u> are partly due to the disparity in the institutional structures and in the internal positions of the project managers and actors, and partly due to intercultural differences in communication style as well as in notions and concepts.
- > Different semester schedules result in shifted time intervals where personnel are scarce.
- Different internal <u>legal frameworks</u> have also led to delays, e.g., timelines had to be adjusted due to codetermination procedures in data-collection which had not been foreseen by the task leader.

SCIENTIFIC CAREERS: NATIONAL REGULATIONS; STATUS OF YOUNG RESEARCHERS

- National legislation and university regulations regulate the employment of scientists in a variety of ways that make it difficult to identify the exact reasons impeding career growth.
- Young scholars have <u>different levels of independence</u> in their research, being closely related to their promoter and chair, and face a paucity of opportunities to explore their ideas.
- Acknowledgments and reward systems (e.g., performance reviews) often don't take into account neither international cooperation activities nor management skills.
- There's still a <u>lack of adequate training</u> on collaborative and interdisciplinary research to those participating in collaborative research.
- ➤ The variety of PhD requirements and procedures hinder the improvement of collaboration between the institutions. The T4EU alliance presents such a strong diversity of doctoral training paths that it is difficult to establish a common European standard without prior harmonization. Universities and their national authorities should thus create much more flexible frameworks for the PhD degrees It should be acknowledged that the various career paths within research management are equally as important and diverse as the different stages of a researcher's career.

SHARING RESOURCES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

- > <u>Data collecting</u> is one of the biggest issues, caused by differences in internal regulations and the lack of incentives for potential participants to fill in surveys or take part in interviews.
- > Sharing <u>key research infrastructure</u> is challenging due to the various <u>regulatory obligations</u> entailed by granting authorities or the universities themselves.

UNEVEN STAKEHOLDERS' AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

- > There has been less stakeholder involvement in the T4ERI project than expected, which is <u>due to a lack of visible service and partnership chances specifically oriented at business</u>, because the project was more dedicated to establishing structures and piloting measures than generating tangible concrete results (like in classic R&I projects).
- The <u>varying geographical and activity specificities</u> of external stakeholders, as well as the <u>disparities</u> in <u>policy experience</u> and various levels of active stakeholder participation, in combination with <u>complicated university processes</u>, are another challenge to external stakeholder engagement.

> For Public Engagement in Research and Innovation, challenges relate to variances in the institutions' active <u>public engagement policies</u> and in differences in the approach and the experience of putting it into practice.

Please describe how you tackled or intend to tackle these challenges. Based on your project's experience so far (and if applicable), briefly outline case(s) that you consider as good practice and of interest to other universities or to policy-makers.

- ✓ Establish trustful relationships that allow for openness and transparency through regular meetings (online and face-to-face). Offer additional training to improve (intercultural) communication and language skills.
- ✓ Stay flexible and apply agile project management.
- ✓ Apply a participatory approach to decision-making processes, including sharing knowledge, best practice and experiences to find the most suitable solutions.
- ✓ Organize round tables allowing a multidimensional approach to issues and consultation on proposed solutions to make sure they are relevant to the context and all partners.
- ✓ Reserve specific funding for stakeholder mobility within the alliance to build partnerships on project events that can lead to short-term assignments and/or joint R&D projects.
- ✓ Support young pre- and postdoc researchers in taking part in mentoring and observation of senior colleagues in another alliance country, allowing them to step into independence and pursue their research ideas. Erasmus+ funds can be used for trips of more than a week.
- ✓ T4ERI has developed a <u>partnering tool</u> and database of researchers from the alliance's universities to encourage research collaboration and networking within the alliance, thus enhancing its relevance. The "<u>connect4research</u>" tool also includes an <u>infrastructure sharing</u> component with over 500 items listed.

Please describe the tangible progress that individual partners as well as the Alliance as whole have made in terms of introducing changes in their entities as a result of this project. Please elaborate on whether the inclusive and integrated cooperation approach of your alliance helps accelerate institutional change of all partners (e.g. through sharing of practices from institutions with expertise or infrastructure in specific areas to institutions without).

Being a member of the Transform4Europe alliance has led to institutional change at all partners, from creating a dedicated vice-rector position (UNITS) to creating an Institutional Project Management Office (UA), and has accelerated transformation in many fields, e.g., digitalisation, professional development or the administration of student mobility. The collaboration of the management staff of the two projects (Erasmus+ initiative and T4ERI), that has been considerably intensified even though they are in many cases located in different units of their university, has contributed to strengthening the internal communication and cooperation of different units in general and to enhancing the meaning of internationalisation as a transversal task for the institution.

The exchange of best practices and the involvement in the T4ERI project has, inter alia, resulted in Saarland University enhancing their Open Science strategy and activities.

2. POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS (MAX 3 PAGES)

In this section, the European Universities pilot Alliances make recommendations in relation to the policy topics identified below. Given the unique strengths and focus of each European University Alliances, please focus only on those aspects of most relevance to your case. Please feel free as well to expand to other policy topics you may wish to share your learnings and recommendations (other recommendations).

1. Policy topic 1: facilitating transnational cooperation

Knowing that the Commission proposed a Council recommendation to facilitate transnational collaboration between universities, which action should be prioritised to address the challenges you encountered as an Alliance in sharing capacities, infrastructures, resources or staff in R&I?

A legal statute for the alliance would facilitate accessing, sharing and pooling joint resources – such as financial, human, digital and physical resources, and services. We are thus looking forward to the creation of a legal statute which is planned in the current Erasmus+ initiative.

2. Policy topic 2: strengthening careers

Is there a need to develop a model tenure-track system at European level to contribute to solving precariousness of early career researchers? If you believe so, how do you think it should be structured?

The creation of a European model system, or at least its implementation, seems difficult given the variety of systems in place in the different member states. However, strategic institutional partnerships like the European University Alliances represent a good framework for introducing joint guidelines and sharing best practices for training opportunities, support services and career guidance for the upskilling of early-stage researchers. Some universities can rely on their HRS4R Action Plan. Based on the requirements analyses and competency model, all universities offer internal training programmes with various types of activities (e.g., a career-based staff development system as well as a staff position system that is linked to the motivating system or boosting collaboration between the institutions' transfer technology office and industry).

More specifically, the recommended BEST PRACTICES in the area of R&I staff development to transfer at the alliance level are as follows:

- > EKA staff exchange mobility and common seminars for project personnel on various topics related to R&I management,
- > UNISOFIA involving leading researchers to attract young talents,
- > UNITS analysis of the trend of indicators relating to the recruitment of teaching staff, implementation of quality standards for the purposes of research quality assessments,
- > USAAR development of a quality assurance system for young researchers that comprises standards for supervision, research integrity and career development,
- ➤ UA UA Delegation Unit for Links with the Society; UA Alumni Programme, UA Senior Programme, Institutional Funding Programme,
- ➤ USIL minimum one joint development programme for R&I staff, training and a programme for data stewards and science communicators, strengthening the competences for scientific communication, data management and promoting open science, cooperation between technology brokers (TTO) and academics on the alliance level,
- > VMU researchers' salary promotion system, research management system (VMU CRIS).

In light of the policy process on the reform of assessment of research and institutions, what are your recommendations on how to address academic/researcher career assessment?

The topic of academic/researcher career assessment could not be sufficiently addressed within the framework of the T4ERI project. It is thus recommended to revisit the subject on a larger scale within the Erasmus+ initiative. The possibility of introducing an alliance-wide performance review and reward system should be further examined.

3. Policy topic 3: digital transition

What are the specific needs of the alliances to accelerate their digital transition in the R&I dimension, and how can this be addressed at the EU level?

In some instances, institutions rely on partially obsolete IT and digital systems that are not properly protected or integrated in order to offer the interoperability necessary to optimize university operations and improve end-user experience. Some universities are particularly challenged by the digital transformation because they lack clear IT governance — a framework that ensures information technology is used effectively and efficiently in support of organizational goals.

Significant organisational changes are sometimes a sine qua non condition to deliver true digital transition. IT solutions and digital procedures have often been extremely customized, and institutions are usually unable to make use of predefined self-service and integration features of new software. Additionally, these one-of-a-kind processes need extensive upkeep and care. The institution's

commitment to digital transition, understanding the importance of altering procedures to effectively use technology, and attention on the users' experience are crucial to success.

Another barrier is attracting and retaining the personnel needed to implement and support the technology needed; the conditions in public service are often not attractive for them.

The collaboration in an institutional partnership like the European universities can on the one hand sharpen or highlight the challenges, and on the other hand also accelerate coping with them by pointing to crucial aspects and providing best practices.

To overcome the above-mentioned challenges, European University Alliances should benchmark their technology and procedures, then go through the following stages:

- > Stabilization which includes upgrading systems to the latest versions, strengthening the network, increasing bandwidth, closing security gaps and risks, and enhancing end-user assistance.
- > Standardization, looking for new methods to save money and enhance efficiency using their now-stabilized core technical infrastructure. This step consists of removing redundant systems, implementing governance to ensure that objectives are aligned, reducing customization, and migrating data.
- Optimization of technology to automate time-consuming manual activities, utilize resources, and deliver on the automation and efficiency that technology typically promises, allowing professors and staff to give students speedier, more predictable, and customized experiences.
- > Transformation, deploying transformational technologies that allow them to use linked data to drive choices via predictive analytics.

The process that leads to a comprehensive digital transition at alliance-level being more complex, it needs more coordination and time for implementation than on individual institutional level. Thus, alliances would particularly benefit from financial incentives / structural funding and support.

Also at the European level, support could be provided to capitalize on existing systems and help mainstream reliable solutions that comply with, e.g., data protection regulations, as not only digital needs and gaps must be met but also, solutions need to be compliant with the legislation at national and European level.

In particular, do you see a need for additional dedicated e-infrastructures for data storage and management that are distributed and interoperable? Please take into account progress regarding the development of the federated e-infrastructure for research outputs (EOSC, see ERA Policy Agenda), and the implementation of a digital platform for cooperation in higher education (see the European strategy for universities).

No. It would be better to capitalize on the existing infrastructures and their uses and applications as many institutions are not yet fully aware of their potential. The extended use of the European Open Science Cloud should be enough if done broadly across Europe.

4. Policy topic 4: access to excellence

What is your advice on how to accelerate access to excellence in science and in value creation for all participants for higher education institutions across the entire ERA, through the European Universities Initiative?

Universities in the alliance should establish digital and/or analogous excellence hubs where different best practices can jointly generate ideas and practices for various areas of university/educational life, such as teaching and learning, research infrastructure, and support.

To support outstanding research, universities should ensure the exchange of people, knowledge, and tools. In practical terms, specific funding should be devoted for students, researchers, and staff to visit partner universities. Another important element is research-based education.

The alliances of the European Universities Initiative meet the criterion of geographical balance, thus guaranteeing access to excellence to HEI across the entire ERA. Research and Innovation should be integral parts of the Initiative to continue to strengthen these deep institutional partnerships.

5. Policy topic 5: increasing global competitiveness

Europe's relative weight at a global level when it comes to research-intensive universities is shrinking. In light of this, a European Excellence Initiative will be established to improve global competitiveness of

Europe's universities, in synergy with the European Universities Initiative of Erasmus+. In your view, what would be key elements of such an Initiative? Secondly, could you envisage that such an initiative specifically targets EU objectives such as the Green Deal or European Missions?

Researchers should find it easier and more appealing to collaborate across European universities. This should begin very early in their academic career (for example late BA or early MA stage, research-based education), given that young academics have the potential to become key actors in scientific brilliance in the future. Collaboration should be encouraged at all career levels. In addition to the regular Erasmus+ exchange programmes for students, it would be prudent to sponsor small research projects at early career levels.

A common target for all members across European universities is to support different small or large research projects on various career stages, for example graduate schools, research centers etc. This can be very well coupled with EU objectives, as long as the topic allows all different types of alliances and HEI to take part in the Initiative.